

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

છ

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
PO. Box 1450
Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/606,702	06/29/2000	Mark R. Johansen	470AM	7467	
75	590 07/17/2003				
Reising Ethington Barnes Kisselle Learman & McCulloch PC			EXAMINER		
P O Box 4390			ELOSHWAY, N	ELOSHWAY, NIKI MARINA	
Troy, MI 4809	99-4390		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3727	17	
			DATE MAILED: 07/17/2003	' (

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	Application No.	
Advisory Action	09/606,702	JOHANSEN, MARK R.
	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Niki M. Eloshway	3727
·	•	•
THE REPLY FILED 10 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	o avoid abandonment of th r: (1) a timely filed amendm opeal (with appeal fee); or (3	is application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or	b)]
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the maili	•	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W 706.07(f).	er than SIX MONTHS from the maili /AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH	ing date of the final rejection. S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorte (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	xtension and the corresponding amo ened statutory period for reply origin	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under ally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 cf. 2011).		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require fu	rther consideration and/or :	search (see NOTE below);
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see No	te below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without can	celing a corresponding nun	nber of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: New issues are raised regarding the t	two layers adhered together in	the cap.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	ejection(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	uld be allowable if submitte	ed in a separate, timely filed amendmen
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:	t for reconsideration has be See Continuation Sheet	en considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed S	OLELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendm explanation of how the new or amended claims		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	ws:	•
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) approved or b)	disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure States		
10. Other:	, ,	1.48
M. W. Ilas L.		Tils
Niki M. Eloshway Patent Framinor	SUPE	LÉE YOUNG RVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/606,702.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the plug is not formed simultaneously with the container. It is the examiner's position that Duhaime et al. teaches a closure at 34 which is molded with a container and then removed therefrom. The secondary reference of Arnold teaches that it is known to compression mold the closure in a second cavity and to reuse the closure.